Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 1 of 65

FULL	JUDICIARY	COMMITTEE	MEETING
	PUBLIC	SESSION	

10		
11	Tuesday, June 21, 2011	
12		
13	3:27 p.m.	
14	· · ·	
15	Room 105, Gressette Building	
16		
17	Columbia, South Carolina	
18		
19	REPORTED BY: Janni S. Jardine	
20	Court Reporter	
21		
22	COMPUSCRIPTS, INC.	
23	A Full-Service Court-Reporting Agency Post Office Box 7172	
24	Columbia, South Carolina 29202 1-803-988-0086	
25	1-888-988-0086 www.compuscripts.com	

2

3		SENATOR GLENN F. McCONNELL, CHAIRMAN SENATOR LEE BRIGHT
4		SENATOR PAUL G. CAMPBELL, JR.
_		SENATOR GEORGE E. "CHIP" CAMPSEN, III
5		SENATOR RAYMOND E. CLEARY, III
6		SENATOR CREIGHTON B. COLEMAN
0		SENATOR TOM DAVIS SENATOR ROBERT FORD
7		SENATOR ROBERT FORD SENATOR CHAUNCEY K. GREGORY
,		SENATOR C BRADLEY HUTTO
8		SENATOR JOHN M. "JAKE" KNOTTS, JR.
		SENATOR JOEL LOURIE
9		SENATOR GERALD MALLOY
		SENATOR LARRY A. MARTIN
10		SENATOR SHANE R. MARTIN
1 1		SENATOR A. SHANE MASSEY
11		SENATOR FLOYD NICHOLSON
12		SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN SENATOR MICHAEL T. ROSE
<u>т</u> с.,		SENATOR MICHAEL I. ROSE SENATOR JOHN L. SCOTT, JR.
13		
		SENATOR PHILLIP W. SHOOPMAN
14		SENATOR KENT M. WILLIAMS
15		
16		
τo	<u>כתע בב</u>	PRESENT:
17	SIAPP	EKESENI:
т,		
18		CHARLES TERRENI, CHIEF COUNSEL
19		
0.0		
20		
21		
<u>د</u> ۲		
22		
23		
~ ·		
24		
25		
20		

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 3 of 65

2 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. I'm going 3 to call the meeting of the Senate Judiciary Committee to 4 order at this time.

1

5 And, first of all, I'm going to announce 6 that we're having a special meeting of the Judiciary 7 Committee tomorrow morning at 9:30 in the third-floor 8 conference room next to the Senate chambers to take up 9 the confirmation hearing on the new director of SLED. So 10 all members are duly advised the hearing for that will be 11 tomorrow.

We're here this afternoon to discuss and We're here this afternoon to discuss and What you what you have before you is a favorable report that's amended on S-814 from the Redistricting Subcommittee. This is the Staff Senate Congressional Redistricting Plan 3, which What you will be in bill form when the Judiciary Committee report sis placed on the Senate calendar.

For right now, in consideration by the 20 Judiciary Committee, the plan is given to you in map form 21 with district statistics, which is more easily understood 22 than a list of the precincts in each district.

As you all know, pursuant to the As you all know, pursuant to the Redistricting Subcommittee's instructions, the staff posted on the website two different congressional plans

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 4 of 65

1 last week, a north-oriented one and a south-oriented one, 2 so the Redistricting Subcommittee and the public could 3 have different concepts to review and consider.

The subcommittee held a public hearing last 5 night on these plans, and we heard a great deal of 6 testimony. As a result of that testimony, we asked staff 7 to come up with a third plan, which is the one before you 8 today.

9 This plan was unanimously adopted by the 10 subcommittee this morning. It takes into account much of 11 what we heard at the public hearing. It connects the Pee 12 Dee area with Horry County and restores much of the old 13 sixth district into the new seventh congressional 14 district, keeping many of these counties, including 15 Florence County, whole. It keeps much of the existing 16 fifth district intact, although some lines had to change 17 because of the large growth in populations along the 18 North Carolina border.

This plan acknowledges the concerns 20 expressed by people from the Greenville area about the 21 need to keep Greenville County whole and to maintain the 22 I-85 corridor as a core of the fourth congressional 23 district and not to split the county along that corridor. 24 It keeps the traditional third 25 congressional district intact and continues a split of

1 Aiken County, as requested by several speakers, so that 2 the county is represented by both the third and the 3 second congressional districts. It makes the second 4 district much more compact than it had been. It places 5 Beaufort County within the first congressional district 6 as it has historically been.

7 The plan does split part of Charleston 8 County into the sixth congressional district but in a way 9 that makes much more sense than other plans by keeping 10 the nucleus of the tri-county areas with the urban areas 11 of Charleston County within the first congressional 12 district.

13 The sixth congressional district maintains 14 much of its existing core but is much more compact due to 15 the new seventh congressional district and the growth of 16 population in some areas.

The plan has a rational basis. It reflects the history of our congressional districts. However, it also acknowledges the changes this state faces, such as the huge migration of population to the coastal areas, by giving the coast three congressional districts. It responds to what we heard from public testimony and written comments, but at the same time, it complies with the redistricting guidelines in the federal and state laws that govern this process.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 6 of 65

Perhaps it is not perfect, but please remember, when considering changes you would like to see, that for congressional districts, deviation can only be plus or minus one person, not one percent. Also, any amendments to the congressional plan must be made statewide because the deviations must be so mathematically precise that you can't change one area in a district without changing each congressional district.

9 Please keep in mind that as we go through a 10 discussion of this plan, unless there are questions or 11 comments from the committee members at this time, what 12 I'm going to do is ask Mr. Terreni to proceed to explain 13 the congressional plan in more detail to the committee. 14 I'll ask him to explain that we have three before us and 15 then go to the Plan 3 so that you can see where the 16 subcommittee came from.

We will also be taking up the House bill We will also be taking up the House bill today, and whatever we adopt on the Senate bill, we will be putting on the House bill. There is a reason for sending two, and those of you who may have had some experience in this know that, in the event that we have a conference committee, we may need two vehicles.

If we do not reach agreement with the House 24 and we reach an impasse, then, of course, the federal 25 courts will draw the difference.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 7 of 65

1 So in our effort to reach a consensus and 2 to move forward in a deliberative manner, I'll ask 3 Mr. Terreni to kind of brief you all on where we came 4 from to where we are today with the recommendations from 5 the subcommittee, which will then be the amendment before 6 us because the Senate bill is a shell bill. We have to 7 have a working document. And then we would, of course, 8 do the same thing for the House.

9 It's my further understanding that if, as a 10 result of this, you see amendments that you want to make 11 on the floor, the staff is available to assist you. And 12 we would urge you after this hearing to get with the 13 staff and tell them what amendments you want.

We also received other plans in the record, 15 and they are already in the record. We received a plan 16 from the State Democratic Party. We received a plan from 17 the ACLU. We received -- I think some called it the 18 Gilda Cobb-Hunter plan. We took notice of that, so to 19 let you know, in case there's a question, those are 20 already into the record, and the committee did have the 21 benefit -- subcommittee did have the benefit of all of 22 that.

Lastly, I would encourage you so that we A do have time -- I suspect there may be some amendments to this plan, that some of you may have a strike-and-insert

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 8 of 65

1 for the floor or whatever, but we have got to get these 2 bills out to the floor to be in position to try to pass 3 them next week.

4 Yes, sir, senator from Orangeburg. 5 SENATOR HUTTO: Mr. Chairman, I spoke to 6 the presenter of the Democratic Party plan from last 7 night, and they had a deviation higher than the one. 8 They've corrected that, and they've resubmitted. Anyway, 9 before the staff invested any time in vetting the one 10 that was presented last night, they have reworked it and 11 sent back the one with the title deviation, so they've 12 asked to substitute that.

13 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. And without 14 objection, then, we will make that substitution. Well, 15 we will just put it in as part of the record that we have 16 it now. And that hasn't been done, and the staff will no 17 longer do any more work today. So that way -- thank you, 18 sir.

With that, I'll ask Mr. Terreni to give you 20 all kind of an overview and introduce you to the complex 21 problems of congressional reapportionment.

22 MR. TERRENI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 As we did when we spoke of Senate 24 redistricting, I would like to just begin this overview 25 by taking a quick look at the growth experienced by the

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 9 of 65

1 state and distribution of that growth in the 2010 census.
2 What you see in front of you, Mr. Chairman,
3 is a slide showing the relative growth. That means the
4 growth of each county relative to the statewide growth
5 rate in South Carolina after the 2010 census results were
6 received.

7 The counties in dark green have relative 8 growth in excess of 20 percent. The counties in red were 9 actually the counties that did not grow.

MR. JONES: Is it relative or absolute?
 MR. TERRENI: Thank you, Dwight.

And so what we see is that we had pockets 13 of concentrated growth in South Carolina. We had pockets 14 of concentrated growth.

As we heard in our public hearings, as we have discussed in various meetings, there was growth in Horry County. Horry County grew 72,000 people -- by 18 72,000 people -- more than 72,000 people, but by the same 19 token, Beaufort County grew by 41,294 people. And the 20 tri-county area, Mr. Chairman, grew 40,229 people in 21 Charleston, 40,153 in Dorchester, 35,192 in Berkeley. So 22 the tri-county area in Charleston grew 115,574 people. 23 So what you see in the various plans that 24 have been presented to you, whether it's Staff Plan 1, 25 Staff Plan 2, Staff Plan 3, even the ACLU plans, the --

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 10 of 65

1 are all efforts on how to handle this relative growth.
2 There's growth in York as well, as you can
3 see. What you also see is bands of red where the -4 where we had relative negative growth, that is growth in
5 counties that was either absolutely negative or at least
6 less than the state average.

7 So there is a balancing of this. What we 8 arrived at in Staff Plan 3 -- Dwight, can you put that up 9 for us?

In response to public testimony and in In response to the correction of members in the 2 subcommittee, staff took a second look at the plans that 13 it had put forth before the public and the subcommittee 14 last week and devised plan that sought to keep the Pee 15 Dee area as whole as possible, in terms of whole counties 16 and in terms of reuniting counties that were -- that were 17 testified as forming part of the communities of interest 18 in the Pee Dee area, but as well as recognizing that 19 reuniting the sixth district as previously constituted is 20 a numerically impossible exercise.

That is to say that you can't put all of the counties that used to be in the old sixth district, that is the sixth district before the federal court order of 1990, and remain -- and have a district that is within the legally prescribed size of 660,000 or so. There are

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 11 of 65

1 just simply too many people to add Berkeley back in there 2 at that, Georgetown, Williamsburg back in there as well, 3 and Chesterfield.

So what we have here is a plan that keeps Horry County whole. That was something we heard from the public yesterday. As the committee knows, one of the plans that was put forward by the staff had proposed a division of Horry County between -- on the coast between Myrtle Beach and North Myrtle Beach.

10 There was testimony that, at least in the 11 context of congressional redistricting, the public wanted 12 to see if this could -- if this could be whole. So in 13 Staff Plan Number 3 -- if we could put that back up --14 that's what we've done.

We've also been able to keep Florence Gounty whole, something which -- the House plan had rarved out a little section around Lake City and Timmonsville, which does have connections to Williamsburg Ocunty, but it is -- we reunited that, and so you have two whole anchor counties at least in this district of Florence and Horry County.

Marion County is whole. Dillon County is Marlboro, Darlington, Lee, and then a partial cut into Sumter County, which has -- has the sixth district portion of Sumter similar as well.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 12 of 65

By the same token, reflecting the growth on the coast, Mr. Chairman, the sixth district now comes into Georgetown County, bringing together the corridor of Clarendon, Williamsburg, and Georgetown counties, which have been historically linked either in the old sixth or currently linked in the NESA district, as we heard in testimony, bringing a second congressional district, two out of three.

9 In other words, we would go from having two 10 congressional districts on the coast of South Carolina to 11 having three under this plan in the sixth district 12 currently represented by Congressman Clyburn, who would 13 now represent Georgetown County as well as parts of 14 Georgetown.

And then the first district would run from -- excuse me -- from the Charleston/Georgetown border down through Charleston and through Beaufort Rounty.

As you pointed out, Mr. Chairman, the entry 20 into Charleston for the sixth district is essentially the 21 entry that the federal court drew in 2002 running through 22 Berkeley County, Daniel Island, and then into North 23 Charleston. So we take some comfort in that the federal 24 court has already expressed approval at that path into 25 Charleston County.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 13 of 65

1 The sixth district, as compared to at least 2 Staff Plan 1, remains much more Midlands centered in this 3 plan. It remains more like it is currently configured in 4 the sense that there is a split in Aiken County. It is 5 somewhat different than the current split that the second 6 district has in Aiken County with the third in that, as 7 configured in this plan, the second district would 8 encompass the city of Aiken, while the third district 9 would encompass the city of North Augusta. The current 10 split between second and third actually divides the city 11 of Aiken, so we've been able to unite the city of Aiken 12 into the second district in this plan.

Lexington County is whole in the district, 14 and the entry into Richland is very much similar to the 15 configuration that the second district currently has. 16 One difference is that Calhoun is whole in this plan, and 17 there is a slightly bigger portion of Orangeburg County 18 in the second district.

19 The fifth district retains much of its 20 current configuration other than yielding Sumter and Lee 21 counties to the seventh. It divides Spartanburg County 22 with the fourth district, which is anchored by Greenville 23 County, which is whole in this plan.

The third district runs from Oconee,
Pickens, Anderson, Abbeville, Laurens, down to Edgefield,

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 14 of 65

1 and, of course, into that half of Aiken County where it 2 takes North Augusta, the kind of North Augusta/Beach 3 Island area, which has some commonality of interest with 4 the SRS plant that is represented by the third district. 5 This plan, overall, splits 11 counties, 6 which is similar -- similar to the House plan which was 7 submitted to us. It split 11. I don't think we had any 8 plans submitted to the Judiciary Committee that split 9 fewer than 11 counties. One of the staff plans split 10 eight, I believe.

11 The BVAP in the sixth district is, I 12 believe, 51.25 percent, which we believe should be 13 adequate to ensure preclearance with the Department of 14 Justice. The BVAP in the newly-created seventh district 15 is 30.88 percent non-Hispanic/black voting-age 16 population.

17 SENATOR McCONNELL: Do you have the --18 well, that's the one -- that is the plan that the 19 committee, subcommittee, proposed. There were three. 20 One had a north/south route. Other -- can you show those 21 very quickly so they can see the problems that we 22 encountered in the testimony?

23 MR. TERRENI: Absolutely.

24 Staff Plan Number 1, which you're looking 25 at now, as I mentioned before, runs the second district

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 15 of 65

1 down to Beaufort County where it currently is. The thing 2 is that the growth that we saw before in the second 3 district was too large to accommodate the entire second 4 district as currently configured. So the second district 5 withdraws from Richland County and runs to Beaufort 6 County. While the fifth district would have come into 7 what was formerly a portion of Richland County occupied 8 by the second district. That's approximately 180,000 9 people.

10 The first district ran from the 11 Dorchester/Charleston area up through Georgetown County 12 into -- as I said earlier, the dividing line, I think, 13 was North Myrtle Beach, between the Dunes 1 and Dunes 2 14 precincts.

The seventh district is slightly more 16 westward in its orientation in this plan, and the sixth 17 is not too dissimilar from its current configuration in 18 that it's in Colleton, Dorchester, Calhoun, so it's 19 centrally located.

20 Staff Plan Number 2 took a different tack 21 on things in that it placed the new district -- as we 22 mentioned, it did grow from 115,000 people in the 23 tri-county area and then 41 in the Beaufort area, so 24 you've got 150,000 new people in this seventh district. 25 And it anchors the seventh district in the

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 16 of 65

1 Beaufort/Jasper area going up through Berkeley and 2 through Georgetown with the first district that began in 3 Charleston and ran through -- up the coast to take in the 4 whole of Horry County.

5 The sixth district in this configuration 6 would retain its base in Richland, in Orangeburg, but 7 would be oriented in a more northeastern orientation 8 going through the Pee Dee, much of the Pee Dee, and leave 9 Darlington, Marlboro, Dillon, and Florence with the --10 perhaps a disadvantage of Darlington would be divided in 11 this plan between the fifth and the sixth. However, I 12 would say I believe this is the plan that split the 13 fewest counties that was in front of the committee.

As you see, there is a configuration of Greenville/Spartanburg in which both counties are split in this plan, and the third district does not have Zedgefield County in it, in this draw. It stops short in McCormick. So you have Edgefield, Aiken, Barnwell, Lexington, Richland, a second district configuration Q going through there. In terms of the demographics of this plan, they were very similar.

We also, Mr. Chairman, had a plan submitted We also, Mr. Chairman, had a plan submitted two We also, Mr. Chairman, had a plan submitted two black-majority which would have created two black-majority voting-age population districts, a seventh black-majority voting-age population districts, a seventh black-majority that is oriented in sort of a "V" shape from the

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 17 of 65

1 city of Spartanburg running down through the Midlands
2 into Richland County, finally down to Clarendon, and then
3 back up through Florence and Marlboro and Chesterfield.

The sixth district, which would be the new 5 district in the sense that Congressman Clyburn lives in 6 Richland County and would be in what they term the 7 seventh district -- their new sixth district would begin 8 in Jasper and essentially run up to Marion County.

9 While this plan did create two 10 black-majority districts -- with slight black majorities, 11 but it created two black-majority districts --

12 Mr. Chairman, I have concerns that it raises some of the 13 same issues that were raised about the sixth district in 14 prior years, in that it has fingers and irregular draws 15 that look like -- that could be interpreted as being 16 drawn with the -- with race as their primary purpose.

I think there are some concerns raised by this plan in terms of a racial gerrymandering -- in terms of racial gerrymandering liability. It is also, I would note, not the configuration that has been recommended by Congressman Clyburn either to the House or to the Senate.

We finally have the House plan as amended, 23 H-3992. This is the House plan adopted on June 15th, 24 2001, in some respects similar to the Senate plan, and in 25 some respects different. You will notice that Georgetown

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 18 of 65

1 County is in this -- that Georgetown County is in the 2 seventh district in this plan. There is a cut in 3 Florence County in the Lake City area. Chesterfield 4 County is in the seventh district, whereas it's in the 5 fifth under the staff plan.

6 Also, in the Lowcountry, the entry into 7 Charleston is through the Dorchester/West Ashley area for 8 the sixth district to then go into North Charleston 9 instead of through Berkeley County, as it's currently 10 configured.

Again, Mr. Chairman, I take some comfort in Again, Mr. Chairman, I take some comfort in the fact that this is the current configuration, this configuration that's been upheld. To the extent the subcommittee has a choice, I would recommend that you go swith the existing cut of the sixth district. I think it's been thought over and tried and true, so to speak, over 20 years now, and to the extent that we can hew those lines, there's a safety net.

19 The second district you see has also 20 Barnwell, part of Orangeburg. In that respect, it's very 21 similar to what you have before you that the 22 subcommittee, in the staff plan, approved, proposed, and 23 adopted by the subcommittee except that Aiken County is 24 whole in this plan instead of the division between Aiken 25 and North Augusta, as we discussed. And, again, you have

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 19 of 65

1 a Greenville/Spartanburg split up here in the fourth 2 district area, Mr. Chairman.

3 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. And I 4 believe that Sumter is treated -- is put up into the 5 fifth district in this one.

6 MR. TERRENI: That is correct. Sumter and 7 Lee Counties are in the fifth district, as they currently 8 are in the current plan and in this plan as well, while 9 the plan adopted in the subcommittee has Sumter and Lee, 10 at least part of Sumter, that upper part of Sumter and 11 all of Lee County in the new seventh district.

12 SENATOR McCONNELL: And so the committee 13 will also understand the problems that we discovered in 14 the public hearing last night, in the fourth district. 15 We had some testimony about that split up there. Would 16 you tell the committee --

17 MR. TERRENI: Yes, sir.

18 SENATOR McCONNELL: -- what we heard and 19 why we became troubled by it.

20 MR. TERRENI: Reverend Ennis Fant from 21 Greenville testified before the subcommittee last night 22 regarding the seventh district as configured in the House 23 plan. It may not be easy to see on this screen, but 24 along the western border of Greenville County, you will 25 see where the third district goes up. I believe that's

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 20 of 65

1 along the Reedy River.

2 The reverend's concern was that, as 3 configured in this plan, the fourth district cuts across 4 existing districts Senate 7 and the proposed district 5 Senate 7. That would be the district with a high 6 majority -- minority population represented by Senator 7 Anderson. He recommended that whatever congressional 8 plan is enacted by the Senate should encompass all of 9 District 7 into District 4 -- into Congressional District 10 4.

In other words, all Senate District 7 into I2 Congressional District 4 in order to maximize the I3 influence of the minority of the Greenville -- of I4 Greenville County's minority community in congressional I5 representation.

16 The Senate Plan, which incorporates all of 17 Greenville County into the fourth district and forms a 18 division of Spartanburg along the I-385 corridor, would 19 accomplish this goal.

20 SENATOR HUTTO: Mr. Chairman?

21 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir, senator from22 Orangeburg.

23 SENATOR HUTTO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 All of the staff plans as well as the House plan split 25 Orangeburg County, which I will tell you kind of suits

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 21 of 65

1 Orangeburg, I think. We get good constituent service 2 from Congressman Wilson and Congressman Clyburn, and 3 people have sort of become use to -- we've been split for 4 a while between the second and sixth, and both 5 congressmen have presence in the county, and they both 6 pay attention to us. I mean, sometimes people say when 7 you split, you don't get attention from either one. 8 Well, I think we get attention from both of them.

9 But, having said that, the traditional 10 split has had things east of I-26 in the sixth and west 11 of I-26 in the second, and this new split in Staff Plan 3 12 has some precincts, as you can see, along the Calhoun 13 County line and the Clarendon Lake/Marion border in the 14 second, which have traditionally always been in the 15 sixth, where there's some counties along the Bamberg line 16 that have normally been in the second and are now in the 17 sixth. And I've talked to Senator Matthews about this 18 too, and those counties that are east of I-26 would be 19 counties that are in his Senate district.

And we're going to ask staff to prepare an amendment. I'm not sure exactly how many people are in that basically three -- three or four precincts that are ast of I-26. We would like to see if we could move those back into the sixth, where they are now, and swap them out with the other ones. We're trying to do all

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 22 of 65

1 inside of Orangeburg County and put some other precincts 2 that have initially been in the second back in second, 3 and you may have to split a precinct in there to fix it. 4 I guess, assuming that you got to get to one person, 5 we've had to split some precincts already.

6 So all I'm going to suggest to Mr. Terreni 7 is that Senator Matthews and I would like you all to look 8 at an amendment to take that little, pink sliver that's 9 to the east of I-26, which I think is probably four 10 precincts, move those back into the sixth, where they are 11 now, take some of the counties along the 12 Orangeburg/Bamberg line that are now in second, put them 13 back into the second. I think that leaves everybody sort 14 of where they are and not asking to affect any other 15 counties with that.

16 SENATOR S. MARTIN: Mr. Chairman?

17 SENATOR RANKIN: Mr. Chairman?

18 SENATOR McCONNELL: One by one. Senator 19 from Spartanburg.

20 SENATOR S. MARTIN: Thank you,

21 Mr. Chairman. I have a question. You mentioned that the 22 seventh -- the Senate District 7, the part of this plan 23 that had to be included in the Staff Plan 3, the 24 congressional district. Is Senate District 7 a 25 majority-minority district?

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 23 of 65

1 SENATOR MALLOY: It is not. 2 MR. TERRENI: Senator, District 7 is not. 3 It's 40 -- I believe in the staff plan as configured, 4 it's a little over 43 non-Hispanic, 43 percent 5 non-Hispanic/black voting-age population. It wasn't that 6 we had to do it. It was that it was -- a result of 7 keeping District 7 whole was that it did accommodate that 8 concern that was expressed at the public hearing. 9 SENATOR S. MARTIN: I thought I heard 10 somebody say that the concern was that it was a minority 11 district and keeping it whole. 12 SENATOR MALLOY: Senator? 13 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir, senator from 14 Darlington. 15 SENATOR MALLOY: Senator, he's talking 16 about the Senate District 7 that's currently occupied by 17 Senator Anderson. That district is approximately 43 18 percent African-American with about 9 percent of a Latino 19 vote. Obviously, there was a considerable amount of time 20 spent on trying to put that district together and try to 21 keep those communities of interest together. It has 22 never been a majority-minority district, so if that 23 answers the senator from Spartanburg's question. 24 Last night Reverend Ennis Fant came in and 25 testified that there was a segment of the population in

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 24 of 65

1 that Greenville area that he didn't see why we wanted to 2 divide that community of interest, and so that led the 3 staff to respond to the comments from the public.

And what I would just say is that, 5 obviously, even though I feel like some of the public 6 hearing was a bit orchestrated, there were significant 7 opportunities for the public hearing during this interim 8 process. And we've been all over the state. We had some 9 early public hearings.

We had one last night where I thought that 11 it was a little bit more singled out in a particular 12 area, particularly when you get together and bring a bus 13 up. But I do say that the staff did listen to the folks 14 that testified.

15 There was one of those that was 16 significant. The other one was that one -- on the Senate 17 Plan Number 1, there was a division of Horry County. 18 There was a lot of testimony regarding making Horry 19 County whole.

20 Obviously, we would make the argument that 21 it was already whole as it relates to the first district, 22 but trying to respond to what the public comments were to 23 the Senate to clean up these areas -- and keep in mind 24 that this process is very fragile and very fluid -- we 25 have to have -- the district's got to be equal plus one

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 25 of 65

1 person within it.

2 So we're not operating off of the ten 3 deviation, five down, five up, that we end up having on 4 our Senate plan. So any movement is going to require a 5 tremendous amount of change throughout the entire 6 process.

SENATOR S. MARTIN: Thank you, senator from 8 Darlington, but on the note -- of course, Mr. Chairman, 9 if I may finish --

10 SENATOR McCONNELL: Go ahead.

SENATOR S. MARTIN: -- as part of the criteria to come up with a plan, are we looking more as sounties as a whole and economic engines in a whole in terms of Spartanburg and Greenville, or are we trying to satisfy one individual community within that? Can somebody answer that question for me?

17 SENATOR McCONNELL: Mr. Terreni.

MR. TERRENI: Senator, staff, as it 19 understood the subcommittee's instructions regarding 20 criteria, was to balance the criteria in light of the 21 input that we got from members of the subcommittee, 22 members of the public, and members of the Senate At 23 Large.

I want to be clear that Greenville County 25 was not made whole in this staff plan in an effort -- for

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 26 of 65

1 the sole purpose of incorporating District 7 into 2 Greenville County. In fact, that's one of the 3 byproducts of -- that is one of the consequences of 4 Greenville County being whole is that it did address that 5 concern.

6 We had an earlier staff plan that addressed 7 that concern as well that had a split in Greenville 8 County. It was just a different split. So there -- and 9 I think the senator from Darlington explained it very 10 well.

11 Reverend Fant articulated and identified a 12 community of interest in Greenville County, and he wanted 13 to maximize that community -- it was a geographic 14 community, it was an ethic community. He wanted to 15 maximize their impact in the fourth district and did not 16 wish to see them divided, much in the same way that 17 somebody may not want to see the core community elsewhere 18 in the state divided.

19 Secondly, in terms of the fourth district, 20 obviously, there is more than one way to look at this, 21 and staff has proposed more than one way to look at this. 22 We've proposed -- the one thing that's for sure in 23 Spartanburg, Spartanburg County and Greenville cannot 24 both be whole in the fourth district. It won't fit. So 25 70,000 people or so have to come out of there and have to

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 27 of 65

1 go into other districts. And you've seen in other plans 2 that we had that made that division between the fifth and 3 the third, the fifth in Spartanburg and the third with 4 regard to Greenville.

5 What emerged yesterday in much of the 6 testimony was the sense that it might be useful to look 7 at a plan in terms of anchored counties. We heard a 8 whole lot about Horry County and population being divided 9 in Horry County and how Horry County anchored the 10 sixth -- I mean the seventh district along with Florence 11 in the sense that those were the two big counties in that 12 district.

And I think what you see in this And I think what you see in this Configuration of the fourth -- it's not the only way to 5 do it, but it is one way to do it -- is a district that 6 is anchored in Greenville County and extends along the 17 I-85/45 corridor into Spartanburg County through the city 18 of Spartanburg and incorporates it, and I don't pretend 19 that it's the only way to do that.

20 SENATOR S. MARTIN: Right. At the 21 appropriate time, though -- I'll discuss it with you --22 I'm going to offer an amendment or get with you and make 23 an amendment at the appropriate time. Probably won't 24 have time to do it today.

25 But just for the record, I want everyone to

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 28 of 65

1 know that you talk about Greenville being the anchor 2 county. The congressman now -- and the current counties 3 are Greenville, Spartanburg, and Union and a little 4 portion of Laurens. The current congressman we have in 5 the fourth district is heavily anchored, and he is from 6 Spartanburg County. I just wanted to make that clear for 7 the record.

8 And, Mr. Chairman, at one point, we will 9 attempt a point where we move to adopt a certain plan in 10 here?

SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir. And what our 12 plan --

13 SENATOR S. MARTIN: At that time -- at that 14 point, can I -- I would like to read a comment from 15 some -- I want to read a comment from some constituents 16 in Spartanburg county into the record, but that's 17 probably not the appropriate time now.

18 SENATOR McCONNELL: What I want to do is 19 get a comment, and if you want an amendment drawn to the 20 template, then, you know, what we'll do is I'll ask staff 21 to -- just like the senator from Orangeburg indicated he 22 wanted a change -- because we need some lead time to get 23 these amendments right for you.

24 SENATOR S. MARTIN: Okay. So at this time, 25 I'll go ahead and read it.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 29 of 65

1 SENATOR McCONNELL: -- and it will be 2 considered by the full Senate. 3 SENATOR S. MARTIN: So can I go ahead and 4 read the short statement from some people? 5 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir, if it's 6 short. 7 SENATOR S. MARTIN: It's very short. 8 SENATOR McCONNELL: I want to hear from 9 them. 10 SENATOR S. MARTIN: I understand. It's 11 just a couple sentences. 12 This is from the president and CEO of the 13 Spartanburg Area Chamber of Commerce, Senator Shane 14 Martin. 15 The concept of assigning a major course of 16 the county of Spartanburg to a district anchored in 17 another community while assigning the remainder of 18 Spartanburg County to a different district anchored 19 somewhere else is politics at its worst. 20 Enormously important to the state of South 21 Carolina is the integrity of its economic units, meaning 22 those geographic areas where industry, jobs, and economic 23 growth can cluster and thrive. Their success is in 24 unity, both political and economic. 25 It is nonsensical to divide these economic

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 30 of 65

1 units into politically expedient pieces where the ability 2 of our elected officials to truly represent the unified 3 needs of the larger constituency is placed in jeopardy. 4 The business community in Spartanburg expects a far more 5 rational and realistic solution.

6 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. Next I got 7 on the list of people the senator from Richland, Senator 8 Lourie.

9 SENATOR LOURIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 Charlie, I have a question, and it's very 11 similar, perhaps, to the senator from Orangeburg. Can 12 you tell me a little bit more about the split in Richland 13 on this proposed plan as it relates to the way these two 14 districts, and particularly the second and sixth are 15 drawn today?

MR. TERRENI: Yes, Senator, I can. It is MR. TERRENI: Yes, Senator, I can. It is reasons, the boundaries were going to shift. That is to yay the sixth, in general, I believe, has more proportionally with Richland than the second does in the current boundaries.

22 SENATOR LOURIE: In the current boundaries 23 as they exist today?

24 MR. TERRENI: Yes, sir.

25 SENATOR LOURIE: Okay. Because it shifts

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 31 of 65

1 the other way under this plan.

2 MR. TERRENI: Excuse me? 3 SENATOR LOURIE: If I'm looking at this 4 right, it looks like the second district will have 5 215,000 under this proposed plan, and the sixth would be 6 169.

7 MR. TERRENI: Right. But the county itself 8 has grown as well. I'm just saying, if we look 9 geographically and put -- I'm not able to do it in here, 10 but if we put the current boundaries up against the 11 boundary line, I think you will recognize it, Senator, as 12 being fairly similar.

13 It goes through the second district, wraps 14 around Richland County through northeastern Richland 15 County, through Blythewood 1, Blythewood 2, across the 16 top of the county, through your district, and then 17 towards the fort, and then into the Forest Acres 18 precincts and several downtown precincts in downtown 19 Columbia.

I don't believe -- and I may stand I corrected on this -- that there is much in the second district in this draw. There are many precincts in the second district in this draw that you would not find in the second district today. I would be glad to go through the second district today. I would be glad to go through the second district today.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 32 of 65

1 SENATOR LOURIE: Yeah, Mr. Chairman and 2 Charlie, I would like to spend some time with you before 3 we vote on this on the floor, perhaps with the assistance 4 of Senator Scott and his support. I'm concerned and 5 don't even know -- my map knowledge is not that great. 6 There's a funny-looking elbow that runs from the sixth 7 district that kind of squeezes its way into the second 8 district, and, geographically, I think that -- in fact, I 9 don't see a similar elbow anywhere else in the plan. SENATOR SCOTT: Charleston. 10 11 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yeah, Charleston. 12 SENATOR LOURIE: Maybe with the exception 13 on the coast. There's all kinds of funny curves. 14 SENATOR SHOOPMAN: That's Charleston. 15 MR. TERRENI: Well, Charleston and Richland 16 both have the elbows, so to speak, and in large part, 17 what we have tried to do is stay close to the way the 18 courts did it, because these districts, the sixth 19 district in particular, when it's gone in as Charleston 20 and Richland, it has been the subject of some criticism. 21 Part of the elbow, though, is caused by the 22 fact that that big triangle on lower Richland County, of 23 course, as you know, is the fort. 24 SENATOR MALLOY: Yeah. 25

SENATOR COLEMAN: What about Senator

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 33 of 65

1 Lourie's --2 SENATOR KNOTTS: (Inaudible.) 3 MR. TERRENI: I don't know if my aim is 4 true, Senator Knotts, but right there is Ward 26 and Fort 5 Jackson. 6 MR. MALLOY: Mr. Chairman? 7 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir, Senator 8 Malloy. 9 SENATOR MALLOY: If I may interject and 10 help, I will refer the senator to the Federal Court Plan 11 in 2002, which has the same elbow. 12 SENATOR SCOTT: Same thing, same elbow. 13 MR. TERRENI: It does. 14 SENATOR LOURIE: And just so I understand, 15 because you guys are the experts on this, are we trying 16 to adhere as much as possible to what the Federal Court 17 did then? 18 MR. TERRENI: Well, my view of it with the 19 Federal Court, especially with the sixth, is I don't 20 really want to reinvent the wheel on it. If three 21 federal judges said this is a good way, good approach to 22 it, I like it if there is no need to depart from it. 23 SENATOR LOURIE: It just creates great 24 confusion for the citizens of Richland County when we're 25 dealing with some of our infrastructure needs, and you

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 34 of 65

1 have this kind of funny elbow that curves across as to --2 you know, in both congressmen.

I am sort of like the senator from Orangeburg. Both Congressman Clyburn and Congressman Wilson's office have been very accommodating. In fact, I see Congressman Butch Wallace here today, too. He does such a great job for us.

8 But if there is a way to avoid that, 9 particularly when you got this kind of funny piece of 10 geography there, we would like to at least examine that. 11 MR. TERRENI: I'll be glad to go through it 12 with you.

13 SENATOR HUTTO: Can I respond to Senator 14 from Richland? Can I respond to him?

15 SENATOR McCONNELL: You mean on --

16 SENATOR HUTTO: On this.

17 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir.

18 SENATOR HUTTO: Senator, you know, my fix 19 that I was asking for was totally within Orangeburg, but 20 maybe if we could fix these two together -- we're still 21 talking about between the second and the sixth and some 22 parts that have always been in the second. It looks like 23 we're picking up more here. We might be able to swap 24 them. It may be that your fix doesn't have to be totally 25 within your county, and mine doesn't have to be totally

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 35 of 65

1 within mine since it's all between the second and sixth. SENATOR LOURIE: I was looking at that, 2 3 too. There is some -- both split counties, so maybe we 4 can work on something. 5 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. And staff 6 says they'll get with you to show you those. 7 SENATOR LOURIE: And, Mr. Chairman, when 8 would -- I'm sorry, Senator from Lexington. When would 9 be -- are we going to try to do this next week? 10 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir, we've got to. 11 We'll run out of time if we don't do it next week. 12 SENATOR LOURIE: Okay. 13 SENATOR McCONNELL: So staff will be 14 available. 15 SENATOR LOURIE: Thank you. 16 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir. We're going 17 to have to ask everybody, please, by Friday so that we 18 can have your amendments prepared and make sure they're 19 accurate for the floor and get them done, because this 20 is -- the subcommittee has learned every time you make a 21 change on these maps, it causes rotations in all of the 22 districts because you -- they've got to be within one 23 person. So they'll be happy to do that. 24 All right. I've got, next, senator from 25 Horry, Senator Rankin.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 36 of 65

1 SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 The question that I have -- or, first, an observation and 3 a note of gratitude, I guess, in response to that busload 4 of communities of interest that came last night to put 5 Horry back, number one, in a whole fashion as compared to 6 the original staff plan and, likewise, to align us with 7 the Pee Dee counties, again, talking about the historic 8 sixth district that served us well in times past.

9 I do want to ask a couple of questions and, 10 one, in terms of the sixth district's composition now 11 with Georgetown in it in terms of the BVAP or 12 retrogression or, I guess, the criteria that the court 13 will look at.

Does, one -- my question -- Staff Plan 3 preserve or adhere to all the standards and, again, appear to satisfy the court?

MR. TERRENI: Yes, Senator. We would --18 District 6 as configured, and the plan as a whole, would 19 comply with the Voting Rights Act, and, otherwise, the 20 law of the courts.

21 SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. And the idea as the 22 House drew it, by aligning Horry and Georgetown, the 23 possibilities of that -- you guys considered that last 24 night as well -- in putting Lee into that seventh 25 congressional district. A little background on that, if

1 you will, how that came to be.

2 MR. TERRENI: Well, and as I said, Senator, 3 there's, perhaps, no perfect answer to this, and 4 ultimately the committee and the Senate will have to 5 decide how to approach this. There was no way to keep --6 what was apparent last night was, while the House had 7 Georgetown in the seventh, it didn't have Williamsburg in 8 the seventh, and Williamsburg used to be in the seventh 9 as well.

10 SENATOR RANKIN: Right.

MR. TERRENI: There was going to be -- and 12 part of Berkeley. I mean, there was going to be an odd 13 man out, so to speak. But let's forget about Berkeley 14 for a second.

Georgetown -- we drew Georgetown out in an Georgetown -- to bring the district in more of an inland, Pee Dee, coastal, Grand Stand balance, and Balance the coastal interests of the district with the inland interests of the district.

I'm not saying it's the only way to do it. 21 Obviously, the House had a different approach. You know, 22 they have Georgetown in the district, and they don't have 23 Sumter and Lee. I mean, there is substantial population 24 in Sumter and Lee as well.

25 I've seen draws where you could put

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 38 of 65

1 Georgetown and Williamsburg in there, but you lose 2 counties at the top of the state. It's just sort of a 3 matter of -- I'm not saying there's a right answer or a 4 wrong answer there. I think it is ultimately one that's 5 in this body's discretion.

6 SENATOR RANKIN: Well, and I just want to 7 add, again, not speaking for the senator from Georgetown, 8 but the message I've heard throughout is that Pee Dee 9 region, again, perhaps tenuous in some eyes, but in the 10 Horry County eyes, the NESA region includes many of these 11 varied counties that your plan adopted today, which began 12 a congressional district, so I want to tell you I 13 appreciate that.

14 MR. TERRENI: Thank you.

15 SENATOR McCONNELL: Next, I have the 16 senator from Lexington, Senator Knotts.

17 Yes, Senator, did you need to respond to 18 something?

SENATOR MALLOY: I was going to respond 20 further to the senator from Horry.

And there was significant testimony about keeping those counties whole in Horry and Georgetown. Obviously, some in a position that, one -- that they were already -- they were already whole in the first district. But, also, this plan here is very similar to the sixth

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 39 of 65

1 plan in Federal Court 2002, which included Georgetown and 2 Williamsburg in the sixth district in the Federal Court 3 Plan 2002, and that has been vetted tremendously.

As you go around and look at the amounts of 5 population, obviously, with Berkeley in, it made 6 Georgetown whole, and Williamsburg was whole, which was 7 similar to the court plan from 2002.

8 Obviously, with the population, you lost 9 the population in the upper part of the Pee Dee where you 10 had to end up growing the population area in this 11 district, and that's why you had to end up circling 12 around to getting that. You needed it additionally as 13 you would continue to have the forces coming down to keep 14 the communities of interest in solid counties in the 15 fifth district, and at the same time maintain what 16 senator from Richland called the elbow and coming around 17 from Richland. You've got to have at least a majority 18 district. It's 90 some-odd-thousand folks that come in 19 after -- with the Lee and Sumter area.

20 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. Next,21 senator from Lexington, Senator Knotts.

22 SENATOR KNOTTS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 I would just like to get out a couple questions about 24 Congressional District 2 in Richland County.

25 That little jut that you got up in there, I

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 40 of 65

1 need the number of people in that, and I need to know if 2 any of those people were shifted that are currently in 3 District 2.

MR. TERRENI: In Richland, Senator Knotts?
SENATOR KNOTTS: That's right.
MR. TERRENI: There are people in
district --SENATOR KNOTTS: But see, all three of
them -- all three plans and the current plan also has a
current -- the current plan, I understand, also goes into

11 Richland.

12 MR. TERRENI: Yeah, absolutely.

13 SENATOR KNOTTS: Well, I just need to know 14 how many people is in there and if those people were 15 currently -- are currently in the second district when it 16 was being drawn out.

17 MR. TERRENI: Okay. To answer --

18 SENATOR KNOTTS: Plan Number 3.

MR. TERRENI: To answer the first question, 20 the number of people in Richland in District 2 under this 21 plan is 215,422, Senator Knotts.

22 SENATOR KNOTTS: That's in Plan 3, Staff 23 Plan 3?

24 MR. TERRENI: Yes, sir.

25 SENATOR KNOTTS: How many?

1	MR. TERRENI: 215,422.
2	Now, your second question regarding whether
3	or not as I understood it, whether all of these people
4	were previously in the second congressional district, I
5	don't want to represent that all of them were previously
6	under the second congressional district. I will tell you
7	that almost all of them were. I would have to double
8	check myself to be sure that all of them were. I don't
9	know the answer of that for sure off the top of my head.
10	The second district in Richland, a lot of
11	it shrunk. In other words, there are people in the sixth
12	district now that previously were in the second district,
13	but not so much the other way around.
14	SENATOR KNOTTS: Okay. What was the reason
15	for that change?
16	MR. TERRENI: The second district was
17	underpopulated as compared to I mean the sixth
18	district was underpopulated as compared to the second
19	district, Senator.
20	SENATOR KNOTTS: All right. Precincts I
21	believe on this plan, you tried to keep counties whole.
22	MR. TERRENI: Yes, sir.
23	SENATOR KNOTTS: Okay. How about
24	precincts?
25	MR. TERRENI: By and large, we have tried

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 42 of 65

1 to keep precincts whole. I believe the last count I saw 2 on this was something like 25 precincts were split 3 statewide. At a certain point, you have to split the 4 precincts if you're going to draw the plus or minus 1. 5 When we did it, we tried to do it as an unobtrusive, 6 least disruptive way possible.

7 SENATOR KNOTTS: Thank you.

8 SENATOR McCONNELL: Senator from Kershaw,9 Senator Sheheen.

10 SENATOR SHEHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 We've heard from big counties, Spartanburg, 12 Lexington, Charleston, Richland, even Orangeburg, but the 13 counties that tend to get run over are also in play here. 14 And one of my counties, Chesterfield County in 15 particular, is a Pee Dee County, that has shown a desire 16 to be included in the Pee Dee district through folks 17 coming to testify, I believe, over in the Florence 18 hearing that had -- I know our Representative Vick is 19 also here from Chesterfield County.

And I was not able to work completely with 21 staff, although they give it a mighty effort, to have an 22 amendment prepared for this meeting. But I do want the 23 committee to know, and I hope the members of the Senate 24 will understand, that many people in Chesterfield County 25 want to be a part of the Pee Dee District, District 7.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 43 of 65

1 And while I'm sympathetic to the large 2 county contained within that district, there are other 3 counties as well who deserve and, perhaps, need even more 4 so than the large counties, which tend to get a great 5 amount of influence in state government and federal 6 congressional districts, need to be included to have 7 their voice heard.

8 And I will be preparing an amendment to 9 help Chesterfield County become part of that seventh 10 congressional district. And I hope that the members of 11 the committee with support me as we do that with the 12 least amount of turmoil possible.

I also have a letter here, which I won't read, but I would like to submit it into the record -- I is will hand it to Chair -- from Mr. Lane Brown, who is here in the audience, I believe, today, and who has some comments that he wanted to be submitted and to be letter the considered in the record as we take that matter up. I believe there are about 48,000 people in

20 Chesterfield County. It is a part of the Northeastern 21 Strategic Alliance along with Horry, Marion, Dillon, 22 Marlboro, Florence, other counties. It is on the banks 23 of the Pee Dee River. It has a huge commonality of 24 interest with Marlboro County and the Cheraw. And 25 Marlboro County is literally right across the river and

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 44 of 65

1 have many ties. It also has a commonality with 2 Darlington County, including sharing the front of 3 Chesterfield County and the Chesterfield County senator 4 and Darlington County senator, Senator Gerald Malloy. So there are a lot of connections, a lot of 5 6 reasons why it should be included in that Pee Dee 7 district, and I will mount a vigorous effort, with the 8 help of many members of this Pee Dee, I'm quite sure, on 9 the floor to try to help to --10 SENATOR McCONNELL: And you spoke to the 11 staff, so they'll be getting you an amendment? 12 SENATOR SHEHEEN: They have, and we'll be 13 working together, and I'll check with them. I do have 14 one question, Mr. Chairman --15 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir. 16 SENATOR SHEHEEN: -- of the process, which 17 is when do you anticipate us taking this up on the floor? 18 SENATOR McCONNELL: We were optimistic that 19 it would be Thursday, but I suspect, depending on the 20 amount -- if we're not ready, then it's not ready. We'll 21 wait until Tuesday. It depends on getting everybody's 22 amendments done. 23 SENATOR SHEHEEN: Well, I plan to have mine 24 ready Thursday at the latest. 25 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 45 of 65

1 SENATOR SHEHEEN: Thank you.

2 SENATOR McCONNELL: At least get started on 3 Thursday and work on it on Tuesday. We've got to try to 4 finish it up next week.

5 SENATOR SHEHEEN: Yes, sir. Thank you.
6 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. Then, next,
7 I've got the senator from Beaufort, Senator Davis.

8 SENATOR DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 Quick question. The Staff Plan Number 2 has the least 10 amount of split counties, and Staff Plan 3 has 11 considerably more split counties. I would also make the 12 observation that Beaufort, Jasper, Hampton, and Colleton 13 are recognized as a COG, as an economic development 14 region.

Just wondering why, if Staff Plan 2 has less split counties and it still leaves Horry County whole and Charleston County whole, why Staff Plan 3 is preferable, if avoiding splits and counties is a material sconsideration.

20 MR. TERRENI: I'm having to orient myself 21 between plans, Senator, if you will give me one second. 22 SENATOR DAVIS: Sure.

23 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Mr. Chairman, while he's 24 looking at that, I have a similar question because Staff 25 Plan Number 2 also keeps Berkeley and Dorchester whole

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 46 of 65

1 along the same lines as the senator from Beaufort is 2 talking about.

3 SENATOR McCONNELL: He'll attempt to answer 4 it, and then I'll let some of the subcommittee members 5 tell you why they preferred --

6 MR. TERRENI: Staff Plan -- Senate Staff 7 Plan 2 split eight counties. The Senate Staff Plan 3 8 split 11 counties. That's with seven districts compared 9 to 12 counties split in the current plan. So everybody 10 is improving.

11 There are fewer split counties. At the 12 same time, the configuration of Staff Plan 2 is 13 substantially different. It was the staff's sense that 14 the subcommittee wanted to see a plan which unified Horry 15 County with the rest of the Pee Dee region, Marion, 16 Dillon, Marlboro counties, and, of course, Staff Plan 2 17 does not do that.

18 Staff Plan 2 also reorients the sixth 19 substantially through the -- into the Pee Dee region, 20 shifting it out of the Lowcountry, Senator Davis.

Those are considerations. Again, Staff 22 Plan 2, Staff Plan 1, this plan, they're all good faith 23 efforts to deal and provide different approaches, I 24 think, to deal with population shifts in the state and 25 were offered in the public -- in the aftermath of the

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 47 of 65

1 public hearing. Staff was requested to come up with a 2 third alternative and one that addressed this issue of 3 Horry County and the Pee Dee region, and that is what you 4 have in Staff Plan 3.

5 And, ultimately, the two plans -- you know, 6 this Plan 2 and Plan 3, I'm afraid, cannot be reconciled. 7 I mean, the population shifts are such that you can't 8 draw a district such as District 7 anchored in Beaufort 9 County and Calhoun and going through Berkeley and at the 10 same time have the Pee Dee district and maintain a 11 minority-majority sixth district in the state, Senator.

So I don't know if that answers your 13 question. I just think there are just different 14 priorities that are considerations.

15 SENATOR DAVIS: I understand. But in terms 16 of drawing the maps, I think the guiding -- and I'm 17 asking the question, really, is it trying to preserve 18 community of interest? And, I guess, where I depart on 19 this is that the best indicator of a community interest 20 is a county boundary, because counties are the way we 21 distribute funds, the way we organize economic 22 development efforts, the way we do a lot of things in the 23 state. I mean, the county unit is the mechanism of how 24 we do that.

25

And it just seems to me that the plan that

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 48 of 65

1 has the least number of counties split is, by definition, 2 going to be the strongest indicator of a plan that aligns 3 communities of interest. And, although I appreciate the 4 fact that we're making progress in that there's only 11 5 counties split, you know, under Staff Plan 3, under Staff 6 Plan 2, you have only eight counties that are split.

And, again, if you're going to look at 8 community of interest, the best indicator of that from a 9 state policy perspective, from an economic development 10 perspective, from COGs, Counsel of Government 11 perspectives, it's going to be counties. And I'll just 12 make that observation to you, Senator.

13 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. Next up is 14 senator from Richland, Senator Scott.

15 SENATOR SCOTT: I want to go back and 16 revisit what the other senator from Richland was talking 17 about in that little bottleneck.

Most of that bottleneck would cover a good 19 portion of the inner city all the way crossing I-20, then 20 going up toward Killian Road, Farrow Road, along with 21 Highway 21 that will come into that bottleneck, and then 22 moving over to the top would be Blythewood, like was 23 indicated earlier, only it comes around it where you've 24 had growth. But it's been basically where the second is 25 represented, that portion of the district, for quite a

1 period of time.

And then when you really take a look here, the voting-age population of 215,000 drops down to 164,000. So with Fort Jackson and some of the other institutions out there, it brings the number back down compared to -- the other Richland County population would be 169, and that's a pretty heavy minority portion of the county with the inner city. But most of that is going to be within the Senate District 19 coming back out a with black population about 109, with a voting-age population 11 about 80,000.

And so that's where that bottleneck comes into, I guess. Not really having the map in front of me, If guess, 555 and Farrow Road would probably be the bividing line on that, if that helps you any to kind of if understand what the bottleneck was all about.

17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

18 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. Next, I've
19 got senator from Charleston, Senator Ford.

20 SENATOR FORD: Mr. Chairman, members of the 21 Judiciary, talking from experience on the split county, 22 Charleston, I think we are the third largest county in 23 the state. And we always had a split -- Charleston 24 always had a split county in relationship to a 25 congressional district.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 50 of 65

For example, I live in both of Congressman Clyburn's district, and, also, at the present time, my congressman is Tim Scott. And all the years I've been in Charleston under split counties, it never handicapped anything. Matter of fact, it never came up at no kind of meeting, whether it's local government meetings, whether it's the Charleston, Berkeley, Dorchester County government meeting. It just never came up. Nobody has ever said, Well, you all got a split county. We've got a problem. It never has posed a problem.

All the industry that's been relocating in All the industry that's been relocating in Charleston for the past, what, 35, 40 years, it never account of the past, what, 35, 40 years, it never that we've got a split county. It never handicapped not one penny from the state. It never handicapped not one penny from the federal government. handicapped not one penny from the federal government.

And if you talk to the people in the 19 streets of Charleston County, you know, they might --20 they don't even know who their congressmen are. They're 21 going to say Tim Scott and Clyburn, or they're going to 22 say Clyburn and Brown, but they never -- it never was a 23 major problem like I've been hearing for the past three 24 or four months being a member of this committee.

25 In other words, you all are putting

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 51 of 65

1 something in your mind that don't really exist, as far as 2 a split county is concerned, for a congressional 3 district. It really don't matter, brothers and sisters. 4 It don't matter because, like I said, it hasn't 5 handicapped -- it hasn't handicapped Charleston County 6 not one bit having a split county.

And it seems to me the philosophy should be 8 that why have one when you can get five or six? For 9 example, you know, in Charleston, we've got -- at one 10 time, we had something like seven -- we had seven 11 senators representing the city of North Charleston. We 12 had five representing downtown Charleston.

And nobody ever heard Mayor Riley crying, And nobody ever heard Mayor Riley crying, Saying that he wasn't represented because he had five. Mayor Summey is the same way. He never said anything about he's not being properly represented. He had seven. He had seven. It seems to me seven is much greater than one, and two congressmen is much greater than one, and it's not going be handicap anything.

Now, a lot of folks in the public have the 21 same confusion, and maybe they have the confusion because 22 if you've never really had two congressmen, you might not 23 know. But believe me, it don't make one difference at 24 all, in state money, in federal money, or no other money. 25 So, I mean, I guess you all have to find

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 52 of 65

1 out for yourself, but, really, it hasn't made no 2 difference in Charleston County. Matter of fact, we've 3 got jobs to throw away.

4 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. Next we'll 5 move to Senator Campbell from Berkeley.

6 SENATOR CAMPBELL: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, I 7 have, roughly, the same comments as the senator from 8 Beaufort had.

9 You know, Senate Plan 2, Staff Plan Number 10 2, keeps Dorchester and Berkeley all as one unit. It 11 does keep fewer counties split, as Charlie pointed out, 8 12 versus 11. It seems to me it's a better plan than Number 13 11. It does put Horry in the first congressional 14 district versus the Pee Dee region. But other than that, 15 you've got eight counties that are split versus 11 or 12 16 that we have today.

And I think Berkeley and Dorchester being kept whole would make it a little bit easier to do some of the things the senator from Beaufort's talking about, such as economic development, some of those things.

21 So those are my comments, and I will work 22 with the senator from Beaufort to talk about some of the 23 issues along those lines.

24 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. Next I've 25 got senator from Spartanburg, Senator Bright.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 53 of 65

1 SENATOR BRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 One of the first terms that I heard you say 3 after I got elected to the Senate was about fundamental 4 affairs. And I do think that Staff Plan, when I look at 5 it and see the way it's drawn for Spartanburg and 6 Greenville County, the fact that Spartanburg is not 7 entirely in a district, Greenville is not entirely in a 8 district, and I think the representation is a lot more 9 fair -- and after listening to some of my colleagues on 10 the Judiciary about their congressional districts, I 11 believe that the Staff Plan 2 is a fairer plan, and I 12 wanted to ask staff if that was meeting with Reverend 13 Fant's approval. I know, with the district, the 14 senatorial district -- does that keep that intact? 15 SENATOR SHOOPMAN: No. 16 MR. TERRENI: Senator, I don't believe 17 Staff Plan --18 SENATOR SHOOPMAN: Mr. Chairman? 19 MR. TERRENI: I'm sorry. I'm just trying 20 to keep track of my plans here.

I believe that Reverend Fant would have wanted a more western orientation for the fourth district in Greenville County. I may have misspoken regarding one of the previous staff plans. Well, Staff Plan Number 1, boviously, had Greenville whole, so it would have met his

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 54 of 65

1 expectations. As far of dividing Greenville, I think he 2 would have advocated a different division of Greenville 3 County.

4 SENATOR BRIGHT: But does Staff Plan 2 keep 5 that senate district whole?

6 MR. TERRENI: No, sir, I don't believe it 7 does.

8 SENATOR SHOOPMAN: No. Mr. Chairman? 9 MR. TERRENI: There's an overlap in the 10 south of the county.

SENATOR McCONNELL: Senator from 2 Greenville, can you answer that?

13 SENATOR SHOOPMAN: Yes, sir, I can. I can 14 tell you both the House Plan and the Senate Plan 2 cover, 15 Senator District 7 almost to the same extent of about 16 half.

17 SENATOR BRIGHT. Okay.

18 SENATOR SHOOPMAN: The House version 19 actually takes the third district on up north of I-85, 20 but in this version, it still -- both plans covered the 21 same proportion of Senate District 7, which was brought 22 to our attention just yesterday and made us aware of 23 that, and that is a -- was a significant concern to all 24 parties involved.

25 SENATOR BRIGHT: Well, was that not a

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 55 of 65

1 concern early on in the process? I mean, are we meeting 2 the Department of Justice guidelines, or are we trying to 3 meet an individual guideline?

4 MR. TERRENI: If I may, this is -- this is 5 not a preclearance issue. I want to be clear about that. 6 This is more of identifying an issue that's expressed by 7 a member of the public regarding a cohesive community in 8 Greenville County.

9 I think what Senator McConnell's 10 observation was and my observation was is that the whole 11 Greenville County addresses that concern, just as it 12 would address the concern of somebody who came here from 13 Spartanburg County and said, We think Converse and 14 Wofford College ought to be in the same district. I 15 mean, this is not -- it happens that there is a community 16 there that has an African-American composition. They 17 feel like there is a need to be united in a congressional 18 district.

But I don't see it so much as an issue in 20 terms of complying with the Voting Rights Act. It's more 21 of an issue as complying with the criteria of the 22 committee. So the decision to draw Greenville whole 23 coincides with that interest, but it's not motivated by 24 that interest.

SENATOR BRIGHT: So you don't think we're

25

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 56 of 65

1 going to have a court challenge issued on Plan 2 or 3? 2 You don't feel like that's going to be an issue? It's 3 just trying to --

MR. TERRENI: To accommodate a concern. SENATOR BRIGHT: To accommodate a concern, because Senator Martin read his e-mail earlier, and we've got a lot of concerns we need to accommodate as well, so I don't think this may go as smoothly as our Senate redistricting.

10 SENATOR McCONNELL: And if you have 11 amendments, I would urge you to get in contact with the 12 staff.

SENATOR BRIGHT: The staff already has an 14 amendment for me.

15 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. That's very 16 good.

17 Senator from Pickens, Senator Martin. 18 SENATOR L. MARTIN: Mr. Chairman, members 19 of the committee, I think what we've heard, particularly 20 some of the concerns -- and some are more fundamental, 21 but tweaking around the edges, that will come now that 22 the plan has been rolled out to the full committee from 23 the subcommittee. Obviously, it's going to take a little 24 time for the members to gather the statistical 25 information to propose amendments. We necessarily need

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 57 of 65

1 to do that on the floor.

2 And for that reason, I would move that we 3 adopt the subcommittee recommendation -- of course, every 4 member will have the right to offer amendments on the 5 floor -- that we adopt the subcommittee recommendation on 6 this bill, and, of course, the other bill as well. 7 SENATOR McCONNELL: We will send both 8 files. 9 SENATOR L. MARTIN: That is the 10 recommendation, the subcommittee recommendation. 11 SENATOR FORD: I second it. 12 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. As I 13 understand your motion, all members are reserving their 14 right for amendments. It gives us a template to amend 15 to. 16 SENATOR BRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, are we 17 saying send out 2 and 3, or send out 3? SENATOR McCONNELL: No, we're sounding out 18 19 the House and the Senate vehicles --20 SENATOR SHOOPMAN: On congressional 21 reapportionment, yeah. 22 SENATOR McCONNELL: -- so that we have a 23 vehicle on the floor, but I would rather not explain all 24 of that in public, because I think we have House staff in 25 here.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 58 of 65

SENATOR L. MARTIN: There wouldn't be any 1 2 ulterior motives on our part this time. 3 SENATOR DAVIS: Mr. President -- Mr. Chair, 4 I'm sorry. 5 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes. 6 Oh, I'm sorry. What you're moving -- let 7 me make sure -- is that Plan 3 would be amended to the 8 two bills as the template --9 SENATOR L. MARTIN: That's correct. 10 SENATOR McCONNELL: -- all members 11 reserving their rights. 12 All right. Do I hear a second? Senator 13 from Charleston seconded it. That is the item before the 14 body. If not, we'll go to a vote. 15 SENATOR DAVIS: Mr. Chairman? 16 SENATOR McCONNELL: All in favor, please 17 raise -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Senator. 18 SENATOR DAVIS: I'll just make this 19 comment. I'm going to reiterate a lot of what I said 20 earlier, but I think that Staff Plan 2 provides the much 21 better template, and I think we're making a mistake in 22 going forward with a plan that has a greater number of 23 county splits. 24 I mean, if you look at community interest, 25 the way we define them in South Carolina, from

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 59 of 65

1 distribution of school dollars, DSS, transportation, any 2 number of things, the unit that we look at in state 3 government to define communities of interest, it counts. 4 And I think that if we go forward and if we adopt a staff 5 plan that on its face has more county splits in it than 6 another staff plan, I think that we're setting up not as 7 good a foundation as we could, and so that's why I'll be 8 voting against Staff Plan 3 being the template. 9 SENATOR BRIGHT: Senator? 10 SENATOR McCONNELL: Yes, sir, Senator from 11 Spartanburg. 12 SENATOR BRIGHT: Mr. President, I want to 13 move to table this motion. 14 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. A motion to 15 table the senator from Pickens' motion. 16 All in favor of tabling, please raise your 17 right hand. 18 (Judiciary Committee voting.) 19 SENATOR McCONNELL: Thank you. Opposed by 20 like sign. 21 Thank you. Proxies? 22 SENATOR MALLOY: Senator from Orangeburg, 23 Senator Hutto, votes not to table. 24 SENATOR McCONNELL: Senator from Lexington, 25 Senator Knotts.

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 60 of 65

1 SENATOR SCOTT: Senator from Greenwood, 2 Senator Nicholson, votes to table the motion. 3 SENATOR McCONNELL: To table? 4 SENATOR SCOTT: Not to table. I'm sorry. 5 SENATOR MALLOY: Mr. Chairman, I think the 6 court reporter did not hear. Senator from Orangeburg, 7 Senator Brad Hutto, votes not to table, his proxy. 8 SENATOR McCONNELL: All right. By a vote 9 of 5 to 17, the motion to table fails. 10 The matter before us is the senator from 11 Pickens' motion. 12 Yes, sir, senator from Horry. 13 SENATOR RANKIN: Unanimous consent request 14 that the same vote just taken apply to the motion by 15 Senator Martin. 16 SENATOR MALLOY: Object. 17 SENATOR McCONNELL: Objection. 18 All right. We'll go to a direct vote. All 19 in favor of the Senator from Pickens' motion, please 20 raise your right hand. 21 (Judiciary Committee voting.) 22 SENATOR McCONNELL: Thank you. Opposed by 23 like sign. 24 Proxies? 25 SENATOR SCOTT: Senator Nicholson in favor

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 61 of 65

1 of.

2 SENATOR McCONNELL: Senator Nicholson in 3 favor.

SENATOR MALLOY: Senator from Orangeburg,
Senator Brad Hutto, votes in favor.

6 SENATOR McCONNELL: Senator Hutto votes in 7 favor. Senator from Lexington, Senator Knotts, votes in 8 favor.

9 By a vote of 17 to 7 -- 5, I'm sorry -- 17 10 to 5, the bills -- the two bills are amended with the 11 staff plan and reported to the full Senate.

12 Let me remind you all we have a meeting in 13 the morning on the SLED director.

14 SENATOR LOURIE: That is going to be here, 15 Mr. Chairman?

16 SENATOR McCONNELL: No, sir, it will be on 17 the third floor above the Senate, at 9:30.

Wait a minute. We have one thing that we need, a technical thing, that we probably need to get agreements put on both bills. I'm going to ask counsel to explain to you.

22 When we go to amend congressional 23 districts, we have complexities that come up regarding 24 people who are still in their terms for congressional 25 districts, and it has been the customary practice to put

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 62 of 65

1 this language in all of these congressional reapportion 2 plans, and I'm going to get him to read it to you very 3 quickly and tell you and just ask unanimous consent to 4 apply to it, because we're going to need to do it now. 5 Some of you have asked what's going to 6 happen to all these boards and commissions. I've had

7 staff already look at that. I have a memorandum on it. 8 There are 59 agency boards and commissions which will be 9 affected by going -- by the changes.

Most of these we can't do until these Most of these we can't do until these Most of these we can't do until these Mistrict lines are settled, and that means either preclearance and it happens, or we get a federal court decision if we end up in court, so most of it can't be, decision if we end up in court, so most of it can't be, the but this little bit can be done to keep order until such time as we have the new plan in effect. So I would ask for you just to very quickly tell them what the boilerplate related the terms of terms of the terms of ter

MR. TERRENI: Senator, the effect of the 19 boilerplate is to allow existing members of boards and 20 commissions to serve out their terms until the new 21 districts are adopted.

Also, we're going from six districts to Also, we're going from six districts to seven districts, so some of these commissions are going to have to be reconstituted because they have appointees according to congressional districts, but they may have

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 63 of 65

1 limited numbers of members, so the General Assembly have 2 to make some decisions about their composition. 3 An example would be Public Service 4 Commission, which I am familiar with, which has, 5 currently, six members elected from congressional 6 districts and one member serving at large. You will face 7 some choices in that regard once you've adopted a 8 redistricting plan.

9 The language says, Notwithstanding any 10 other provision of law to the contrary, any person 11 elected or appointed to serve, or serving, as a member of 12 any board, commission, or committee to represent a 13 congressional district, whose residency is transferred to 14 another district by a change in the composition of the 15 district, may serve, or continue to serve, the term of 16 office for which he was elected or appointed.

However, the appointing or electing However, the appointing or electing authority shall appoint or elect an additional member on that board, commission, or committee from the district, which loses a resident member on it as a result of the transfer to serve until the term of the transferred member expires.

When a vacancy occurs in the district to When a wacancy occurs in the district to which a member has been transferred, the vacancy must not be filled until the full term of the transferred member

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 64 of 65

1 expires. SENATOR McCONNELL: This is the exact 3 language in 1994 and in 2001 that was put into the thing 4 once these congressional districts started changing. Without objection, so ordered. Thank you all for coming. Look forward to 7 your amendments. (The proceeding was concluded at 4:46 p.m.) 2.2

Exhibit No. 24 Senate Judiciary Transcript 6-21-2011 Page 65 of 65

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3 4	I, Janni S. Jardine, Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South Carolina at Large, do hereby certify:
5	5 That the foregoing proceeding was taken before me on the date and at the time and location state 6 on Page 1 of this transcript; that the matters made at the time of the proceeding were recorded stenographicall 7 by me and were thereafter transcribed, that the foregoin transcript as typed is a true, accurate and complete 8 record to the best of my ability.
б	
7	
8	
9	9 I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or 0 interested in the events thereof.
10	
11	Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal July 13, 2011, at Columbia, Richland County, South Carolina.
12	
13	
14	4
15	Tanni S. Taroline
16	Janni S. Jardine Court Reporter My Commission expires
17	
18 19	September 1, 2019
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	